Fil		۱۸	/; (	٠.	
1 11	$\overline{}$	VV	111	.11	

## SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO:_ABP_314485-22	Defer Re O/H
110111	nat section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000 ng reason(s): No New Material issues
E.O.: Pat Bu	
For further consideration by SEO/SAO	
Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.	
Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for	r reply.
S.E.O.:	Date:
S.A.O:	Date:
M	
Please prepare BP Section 131 submission	notice enclosing a copy of the attached
to: Task No:	
Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP	
EO:	Date:
AA:	

S		37
•	•	•

File With _	
-------------	--

CORRESPON Appeal No: ABP 314485-22	File With
MPlease treat correspondence received on	2/04/2024 as follows:
<ol> <li>Update database with new agent for Applica</li> <li>Acknowledge with BP</li> <li>Keep copy of Board's Letter</li> </ol>	1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP  2. Keep Envelope:   3. Keep Copy of Board's letter
Amendments/Comments Robert J. Beyer 12/03/24: 02/04/24	response to 5.131

4. Attach to file  (a) R/S	RETURN TO EO 🗌	
----------------------------	----------------	--

	Plans Date Stamped
	Date Stamped Filled in
EO: Pat B	AA: Anthony Mc Nally
Date: 18/04/2024	Date: 25/04/2024

## **Alfie Staunton**

From:

Bord

Sent:

Wednesday 3 April 2024 08:58

To:

Appeals2

Subject:

FW: Robert Beyer Submission ABP Dublin Airport

**Attachments:** 

Robert Beyer An Bord Pleanala Letter 2nd April 2024.docx

From: B BEYER <br/>bbeyer2021@gmail.com><br/>Sent: Tuesday, April 2, 2024 4:51 PM

To: Bord <bord@pleanala.ie>; Robert Beyer <robertbeyer12@gmail.com>

Subject: Robert Beyer Submission ABP Dublin Airport

**Caution:** This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Hi,

Please find the submission attached for Robert Beyer regarding Dublin Airport Noise Contour Maps.

Thank you,

An Bord Pleanála

64 Marlborough St.

Dublin 1

D01 V902

2<sup>nd</sup> April 2024

RE: Case Number ABP- 314485-22 Relevant Action Application Dublin Airport

Dear Sir/Madam

After reviewing the new noise contour maps it is clear that the DAA has been misleading the general public since the opening of new runway in August 2022. They are so different than the original maps.

The approved flight path from 2007 should not have aircrafts any closer than 2 miles from my home and they should not be turning until 4 miles from my home. What is happening in reality is that they are flying and turning over my home at 1,000ft. As soon as they take-off they are turning and over my home at 1,000ft.

This is not normal noise -- the low frequency penetrates walls and causes the ground to shake.

To deviate from the approved flight paths without due process for the environment and the affected communities is unconscionable.

These new noise contour maps are unnecessary if the DAA would adhere to the approved planning permission in 2007 and fly dependent mode off the north runway. No new noise contours would be required. Communities in Meath are now affected by these new contour maps which is outrageous.

An independent 3<sup>rd</sup> party noise contour external company should be hired to do noise contour maps. It should not be left in the hands of the DAA as DAA got it wrong before, and they will get it wrong again.

I also would like to point out the following concerns to you:

1. We are shocked to see that the noise contours have extended hugely into our community and that a very significant number of dwellings are now included within the noise eligibility contours. Firstly, we note that there was no notice of this fact in any of the planning notices for this application to date. Many of our neighbours who thought they were not affected by this application are now inside these contours but yet were never publicly notified until they attended a public meeting held by St Margarets /The Ward residents' group who explained this to all of us. None of the newspaper or site notices informed the public. Secondly, the

people who now know they are within the contours have not been given the opportunity to make a submission/observation as they do not qualify because they did not make a submission previously as they thought they were unaffected. An Bord Pleanála did not give a public notice of this significant additional information. The above is totally unacceptable and unjust to the communities affected.

- 2. We note that the correspondence from Tom Phillips & Associates refers to the ANCA Regulatory Decision regarding eligibility to the noise insulation scheme and suggest that the change in contours is as a result of their assessing that the increased area is as a result of them considering this new area which contains dwellings to having "very significant" effects. We note that the DAA have never carried out significant test criteria within any of the EIAR they have submitted and therefore they have not met with the EIA directive. This is a fundamental flaw in the assessment as the EIA directive is clear, all significant impact on environment must be identified, quantified and mitigation proposed. That has not happened to date. For areas under the North Runway this involves comparing the scenario with no flights from the North Runway to a scenario where there will be night flights. This has not been done.
- 3. Tom Phillips refers continuously to the regulatory decision by ANCA in his correspondence. However, what is not contained in his correspondence but is within the EIAR relating to these noise contours is that the proposal does NOT meet the Noise Abatement Objective of ANCA in future years. The proposed 2025 Scenario will fail the NAO when compared to 2019 when the total of the existing population, permitted developments and zoned developments are summed together. "2025 exceeds 2019 by 4,541 people (1533 v 6074).
- 4. Why have the noise contours grown. St Margarets The Ward residents carried out noise monitoring on the north runway flight path and found the noise levels to be far beyond those PREDICTED by DAA. Their noise predictions are not accurate and unfounded and they are trying to obtain permission by manipulating numbers. Why can they not submit actual noise results along the flight path which has been in operation since August 2022. The community could.
- 5. Reference is made to the noise zones on Fingal development plan. These noise zones must now be revised due to the proposed flight path over our area. Fingal County Council consider that there should be no residential development allowed in noise zone A as it is considered harmful to health or otherwise considered unacceptable due to the high levels of aircraft noise. However, the fight path now being operated by DAA is putting many existing residences in Noise Zone A and B which is just not acceptable from a health point of view. Meath County Council development plan would also need to be redone if these new noise contours are permitted.
- 6. The noise insulation grant as proposed is not fit for purpose and is totally insufficient to protect for night noise. Measurements of noise in bedrooms of housing already insulated indicate that the noise levels exceed the recommendation in Fingal Development Plan are not sufficient to protect human health.

7. In summary planning is an afterthought for DAA. Their actions show that they do not respect planning legislation or decisions of An Bord Pleanála. This application must be refused.

I have also been asked by the Coolquoy Residents Alliance to include a letter on their behalf below for your attention.

Regards,

Robert Beyer, Kilcoskan The Ward, Co.Dublin.

Rober # eyer

Kilcoskan

The Ward

Zind Aprili 2022

RE: An Bord Pleanal a reference ABP-314485-22Relevant Action at Dublin Airport

Dearflob ext

After reviewing the newsolve confuse mailtre, weare very concerned about ithifut jee of Cooliguov Rural Village.

Our community in Coolgopy Nural Miliegu, had previously understood that the new aftered flight pathsoff the new North runway which commerced in August2022 would not interferenseth our home said lands in Coolgopy and the Nicosakan area. However, we now understand from these new contour maps that it had catesthat the proposed night flights will have such an effect on our home, that Yones in the area will qualify fur a noise insulation grant under their proposal. This is also lately horestdour for our seen.

This is most lignerthine doubt that manylocal medients were never not shed that this planning application involved the changing of flight paths that i would affect here bones and bradus. All public, maps with now we blood Coolqway and kilchokan from any noise zone change. How can this happen without a public not credited in provided to in wimour common kly? We were a ever consulted or briefly in anyway by DAA that this was happening.

We havetherefore not submittedary observation or submission on this, matter previously and understand that are such wecannot now write to ABP.

We would like to ask for your help and request; hat you submit this letter with your submission/observation not trying them of this eary map at situation so the Libray may right this wrong and not final concerned about his significant additional information into that has revised the planning application and to allows to submit formally to ADP.

I note: that whilst the area: under the noise contourshave got larger-there is no specific significance cotects analysis for the impact an this area, how significant the impact is and no proposals to show how this fing act listo be mitigated on homes in our area to ensure our health is being protected from such rouse.

Alloo skarkational School is another major concern for us with talese new noise: contour maps. The children are surrounded by large decibels up to 80dill per pay at helpool and this is not healthy and concerning for their development. School staff also have towork in these noisy conditions.

Up until the opening of the North Runway we had no allicraft notic or lissues inour area and now the elevation is very light notices in discussion flows were very little notes in this nural location of Code, only and C and disconting home.

Plank you for your help

Yours Sincerely:

Coolquoy Resident LiAlbince | Citalignay (The Word, Cit.Dublin

2nd/Ap#/2024